# ENS - Master MVA / Paris 6 - Master Maths-Bio

## **Tutorial 4**

Romain VELTZ, romain.veltz@inria.fr

### Exercise

#### Around the neural field equation (NFE)

We consider a NFE on a compact domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^p$  with a sigmoid *S* nonlinearity:

$$\frac{d}{dt}V(x,t) = -V(x,t) + \int_{\Omega} w(x,y)S(V(y,t))dy.$$

- 1. We assume that  $w \in C^0(\Omega^2, \mathbb{R})$ . Prove existence / uniqueness of the solution in the space  $C = C(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ . *Hint:* show that it is globally Lipschitz. (This implies that the solution is defined globally, ie on  $\mathbb{R}$ .)
- 2. Show that the nonlinearity is  $C^1$ .

We focus on the case  $w(x, y) = w_0 + w_1 \cos(x - y)$  on  $\Omega = (-\pi, \pi)$ 

- 3. Write the equations satisfied by the equilibrium. Are they finite dimensional?
- 4. Write a simplified set of equations for the dynamics. Hint: decompose the space with the range of the integral convolutional operator.
- 5. Consider a stationary state. Can you study its stability despite the fact that the equations are infinite dimensional? (Can you find a case where you can...?)

1/ This is a consequence of Cauchy Lip. theorem. We check that the right-hand side F(V) is globally Lipschitz by noting that  $S_m := \sup S'(x) < \infty$ . Hence

$$\begin{split} \|F(V_1) - F(V_2)\|_{\infty} &\leq (1 + S_m \|w\|_{\infty}) \|V_1 - V_2\|_{\infty}. \text{ It implies that the NFE has a solution globally defined in time. This is a classical consequence of the Cauchy-Lipschitz theorem but not of the finite time explosion (because we are in infinite dimension). 2/ We focus on <math>G(V) = W \cdot S(V)$$
. From Taylor with integral reminder, we have  $S(V + U) - S(V) - US'(V) = \int_0^1 (1 - t)S^{(2)}(V + tU)U^2dt$ . This shows that  $W \cdot S(V + U) - W \cdot S(V) - W \cdot US'(V) = \int_0^1 (1 - t)WS^{(2)}(V + tU)U^2dt$ . One can check that the linear operator  $\mathbf{L} = U \rightarrow W \cdot US'(V)$  is continuous on C and is thus the candidate for the differential of G at V. It remains to check that the integral term is o(U). The reminder is  $\int_0^1 (1 - t)W \cdot S^{(2)}(V + tU)U^2dt$  and its norm is bounded by  $\sup_{X} S^{(2)}(x) \|w\|_{\infty} \|U\|^2 = o(U)$ . This shows that G is differentiable.

#### 3/ Stationary solutions are

 $V(x) = \int_{\Omega} w(x - y)S(V(y))dy = w_0 \int_{\Omega} S(V) + w_1 \sin(x) \int_{\Omega} \sin S(V) + w_1 \cos(x) \int_{\Omega} \cos S(V).$ Hence, there are  $v_0, v_1, v_2$  so that  $V(x) = v_0 + v_1 \cos + v_2 \sin$ . We can plug this expression in the integral equation and project on 1, cos, sin. It gives 3 equations in  $v_0, v_1, v_2$ . The problem is 3d. 4) Same technics as 3). 5) Let us imagine that  $V^{eq} = 0$  is a stationary solution. In the general case, one has to adapt a bit the technics but it is essentially the same idea. Then, the stability is linked to the spectrum of the linearized operator  $LU = -U + W \cdot (S'(0)U)$ . Hence, we focus on the second term. This operator is of rank 3. In fact, in the orthogonal of  $Vect(1, \cos, sin)$ , it is zero. One can thus restrict the study on  $Vect(1, \cos, sin)$  where we find that the spectrum is  $\{2\pi w_0, \pi w_1\}$ . All in all, the spectrum of the linearized operator is  $\{-1, -1 + 2\pi w_0, -1 + \pi w_1\}$ . The stability analysis is then straightforward.

## Exercise

#### Around the neural field equation of Amari type

We consider a neural field equation on the real line

$$\frac{d}{dt}V(x,t) = -V(x,t) + \int_{\mathbb{R}} w(x-y)S(V(y,t))dy + h$$

in the case where  $S(v) = \mathbf{1}_{v>0}$  is the Heaviside function and  $h \in \mathbb{R}$ .

The **connectivity kernel**  $w \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  is a real **even** function which is integrable on  $\mathbb{R}$ . We define  $W(x) = \int_0^x w$  and  $W_\infty := \lim_{x \to \infty} W(x)$ .

We further define  $R(V) = \{x, V(x) > 0\}$ . An equilibrium  $V^{eq}$  is said **localized** if

 $R(V^{eq}) = (a_1, a_2)$  with  $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ . In this case, we can always assume  $a_1 = 0$  by translation invariance.

- 1. An equilibrium  $V^{eq}$  such that  $R(V^{eq}) = \emptyset$  exists if and only if  $h \le 0$ .
- 2. An equilibrium  $V^{eq}$  such that  $R(V^{eq}) = \mathbb{R}$  exists if and only if  $2W_{\infty} > -h$ .
- 3. We here assume the following behavior of W on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . W is strictly increasing towards its maximal value  $W_M$  and is then strictly decreasing and converging to  $W_{\infty} < 0$ . Show that an equilibrium  $V^{eq}$  such that  $R(V^{eq}) = (0, a)$  with a > 0 exists if and only if h < 0 and W(a) + h = 0.
- 4. Find solutions with a periodic support, namely  $R(V^{weq}) = \bigcup_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} [-b + nL, b + nL]$ under the restriction 2b < L. Find an equation satisfied by b.
- 5. Find traveling fronts V(x, t) = U(x ct) where the speed c and the waveform U have to be determined. One can introduce traveling wave coordinate  $\xi = x ct$  and assume c > 0.
- 6. Interface dynamics. We assume that a solution is such that R(V(x, t)) = (-a(t), a(t))and that  $0 \le V_0(x) \le 1$ . We assume that  $V_0$  is even. Find an equation satisfied by a in the case h = 0.
- 7. In the case of a non-convolutional kernel,  $w(x, y) = e^{-|x-y|}(1 + a\cos(y)), 0 < a < 1$ , find the number of stationary solutions as function of their width.

This behavior is called **snaking** of stationary solutions.

1/ If such solution exists then V(x) = h which requires  $h \le 0$ . On the contrary, if  $h \le 0$ , then V(x) = h is a stationary solution of the NFE.

2/ If there is such solution then it satisfies  $V(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} w(x - y)dy + h = 2W_{\infty} + h > 0$ . On the contrary, if  $2W_{\infty} + h > 0$ , then  $V(x) = 2W_{\infty} + h$  is such solution.

#### 3/ A localised solution is such that

 $V(x) = \int_0^a w(x - y)dy + h = W(x) - W(x - a) + h$ . This solution is continuous, hence it satisfies V(0) = V(a) = 0 which implies W(a) + h = 0. Finally,  $V \to h$  when  $x \to \infty$ which implies  $h \le 0$ . On the contrary, when W(a) = -h holds and  $h \le 0$ , one finds V(a) = V(0) = 0. Moreover, such V is  $C^1$ . Using the hypothesis on the shape of W, one can prove that V(x) = W(x) - W(x - a) + h is positive on the interval (0, a) and negative elsewhere, provided that  $h \le 0$ .

#### 4/ These solutions take the form

$$\begin{split} U(x) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{-b+nL}^{b+nL} w(x-y) \mathrm{d}y + h = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (W(x+b+nL) - W(x-b+nL)) + h. \end{split}$$
The threshold condition reads  $U(\pm b+nL) = 0$ . This gives  $h + \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} (W(2b+nL) - W(nL)) = 0. \end{split}$  5/ the solution satisfies  $U_f(\xi) = e^{\xi/c} \left[ \kappa - \frac{1}{c} \int_0^{\xi} e^{-y/c} (W_{\infty} - W(y)) dy \right]$ . Assuming c > 0 and requiring boundedness implies  $\kappa = \frac{1}{c} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-y/c} (W_{\infty} - W(y)) dy$ . The traveling wave is thus of the form  $U_f(\xi) = \frac{1}{c} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-y/c} (W_{\infty} - W(y + \xi)) dy$ .

6/ By definition  $V(\pm a(t), t) = 0$  hence  $\pm x(t)a'(t) + \partial_t V(\pm a(t), t) = 0$  where we defined  $\pm \alpha(t) = \partial_x u(\pm a(t), t)$ . One gets  $a'(t) = -\frac{1}{\alpha(t)} [W(2a(t)) - \kappa]$ . This equation is not well defined for  $\alpha(t) = 0$ . We now get an expression for  $\alpha$ . We define  $z(x, t) = \partial_x V(x, t)$  and find  $\partial_t z(x, t) = -z(x, t) + w(x + a(t)) - w(x - a(t))$  which allows to find  $\alpha(t) = u'_0(a(t))e^{-t} + e^{-t}\int_0^t e^s[w(a(t) + a(s)) - w(a(t) - a(s))]ds$ . This gives us a closed system describing the evolution of  $a, \alpha$  with the initial conditions  $a(0) = l, \alpha(0) = u'_0(l)$  as long as  $\alpha < 0$ .

7) The width *a* of the solution satisfies  $h + \int_0^a w(a, y) dy = 0$ . Writing  $W(x) = \int_0^x w(x, y) dy$ , one finds for x > 0,  $W(x) = 1 - e^{-x} \frac{a}{2} - e^{-x} + a \cos(x)/2 + a \sin(x)/2$ . Hence, there are infinitely many *a*s solution for  $h \approx 1$ .

## Exercise

#### Normal form and center manifold

We consider  $\frac{du}{dt} = \mathbf{A}u + \mathbf{R}(u, \mu)$ . Let us write the Taylor expansion of **R** for a given *p*:

$$\mathbf{R}(u) = \sum_{2 \le q+l \le p} \mathbf{R}_{ql}[u^{(q)}, \mu^{(l)}] + o\left(\|u\|^p\right), \ \mathbf{R}_{01} = 0$$

with  $\mathbf{R}_{ql} = \frac{1}{q!l!} \frac{\partial \mathbf{R}}{\partial^q u \partial^l \mu}$ ,  $u^{(q)} \equiv (u, \cdots, u) \in \mathcal{Z}_h^q$  and  $\mu^{(l)} \equiv (\mu, \cdots, \mu) \in (\mathbb{R}^{m_{par}})^l$ .

- 1. Assume that there is a center manifold  $\Psi$  and that we perform a Normal form simplification on the center manifold with change of variable  $u_c = v_0 + \Phi_{\mu}(v_0)$ . Write the nonlinear mapping  $v_0 \rightarrow u$ . Write the equation satisfied by the combined change of variables (Hint: use the same technics as for the center manifold function  $\Psi$ ).
- 2. (Difficult) Assume that we have a Hopf bifurcation. We write  $v_0(t) = A(t)\zeta + A(t)\zeta$  with  $A \in \mathbb{C}$  where  $v_0$  is the coordinate on the center manifold after the normal form transform. Assume that the normal form reads  $\dot{A} = A(a\mu + b|A|^2) + O((|\mu| + |A|^2)^2)$ . Finally write  $u = v_0 + \tilde{\Psi}(v_0, \mu)$  and  $\tilde{\Psi}(v_0, \mu) = \sum_{p,q,r} \Psi_{p,q,r} A^p \bar{A}^q \mu^r + \cdots$ . Show that  $a = \langle \mathbf{R}_{11}(\zeta) + 2\mathbf{R}_{20}(\zeta, \Psi_{001}), \zeta^* \rangle$  and

 $b = \langle 2\mathbf{R}_{20}(\zeta, \Psi_{110}) + 2\mathbf{R}_{20}(\overline{\zeta}, \Psi_{110}) + \mathbf{R}_{30}(\zeta, \overline{\zeta}, \overline{\zeta}), \zeta^* \rangle$ . For this, find the first terms  $\Psi_{001}, \ldots$  by identifying the monomials of  $\tilde{\Psi}$  in the equation derived in 1).

- 3. We consider the **Ring Model of orientation tuning**  $\dot{V} = -V + J \star S_0(V)$  on the circle, ie  $J \star S_0(V)(\theta) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} J(\theta \theta') S_0(V(\theta')d\theta', V)$  is periodic and  $S_0$  is a smooth bounded function such that  $S_0(0) = 0$ . Assume further that w is **even**.
  - 1. Show that the vector field is equivariant w.r.t  $T_t \cdot V(\theta) = V(\theta t)$  and  $R \cdot V(\theta) = V(-\theta)$ . What is the group generated by  $T_t, R$ ?
  - 2. ( $\star$  $\star$ ) Assume that there a Pitchfork bifurcation at  $\mu = \mu_0$ . Compute the normal form as function of the parameters of the model. For this, one has to adapt the previous question 2).

#### ATTENTION ICI NOTATIONS

1. we build a reduced equation for  $u_c \in \mathcal{X}_c$  with the center manifold correction  $\Psi$ :

$$u = u_c + \Psi(u_c, \mu), \quad \Psi(u_c, \mu) \in \mathcal{Z}_h.$$

This reduced equation is

$$\frac{du_c}{dt} = \mathbf{A}u_c + P_c \mathbf{R} \left( u_c + \Psi(u_c, \mu), \mu \right)$$

Then, we apply a change of variable to  $u_c$ 

$$u_c = v_0 + \Phi_\mu(v_0), \quad v_0 \in \mathcal{X}_c$$

to bring the reduced equation to a normal form given by:

$$\frac{dv_0}{dt} = \mathbf{A}|_{\mathcal{X}_c} v_0 + \mathbf{N}_{\mu}(v_0) + \rho(v_0, \mu),$$

where  $\mathbf{N}_{\mu}$  is a polynomial of some degree p such that  $\mathbf{N}_{0}(0) = 0$ ,  $D_{\nu}\mathbf{N}_{0}(0) = 0$ and  $\rho(v_{0}, \mu) = o(||v_{0}||^{p})$ . We write

$$u = v_0 + \tilde{\Psi}(v_0, \mu), \quad \tilde{\Psi}(v_0, \mu) \equiv \Phi_{\mu}(v_0) + \Psi(v_0 + \Phi_{\mu}(v_0), \mu) \in \mathcal{Z}$$

The nonlinear function  $\tilde{\Psi}$  is solution of the next equations:

$$(NF): \begin{cases} D_{v_0}\tilde{\Psi}(v_0,\mu)\mathbf{A}|_{\mathcal{X}_c}v_0 - \mathbf{A}\tilde{\Psi}(v_0,\mu) + \mathbf{N}_{\mu}(v_0) = \mathbf{Q}(v_0)\\ \mathbf{Q}(v_0) \equiv \Pi_p \left[\mathbf{R}(v_0 + \tilde{\Psi}(v_0,\mu),\mu) - D_{v_0}\tilde{\Psi}(v_0,\mu)\mathbf{N}_{\mu}(v_0)\right] \end{cases}$$

where  $\Pi_p$  is the operator which takes the first p+1 terms in the Taylor expansion in

the variable  $v_0$ .

2. Under these assumptions, we have that  $\Sigma_0 = \{\pm i\omega\}$  and that the associated center subspace  $E_0$  is two-dimensional spanned by the eigenvectors  $\zeta$ ,  $\bar{\zeta}$  associated with i $\omega$  and  $-i\omega$ , respectively. We set  $\tilde{\Psi} \equiv \sum_{p,q,r} \Psi_{p,q,r} A^p \bar{A}^q \mu^r$ . By identifying in (NF) the terms of order  $O(\mu)$ ,  $O(A^2)$ , and  $O(A\bar{A})$ , we obtain

$$-\mathbf{L}\Psi_{2,0,0} = \mathbf{R}_{0,1}$$
$$(2i\omega - \mathbf{L})\Psi_{2,0,0} = \mathbf{R}_{2,0}(\zeta, \zeta)$$
$$-\mathbf{L}\Psi_{1,1,0} = 2\mathbf{R}_{2,0}(\zeta, \bar{\zeta})$$

Here the operators  $\mathbf{L}$  and  $2i\omega - \mathbf{L}$  are invertible so that the equation above are uniquely determined. Next we identify terms of order  $O(\mu A)$  and  $O(A^2\overline{A})$  and find

$$(i\omega - \mathbf{L})\Psi_{1,0,1} = -a\zeta + \mathbf{R}_{1,1}(\zeta) + 2\mathbf{R}_{2,0}(\zeta, \Psi_{0,0,1})$$
$$(i\omega - \mathbf{L})\Psi_{2,1,0} = -b\zeta + \mathbf{R}_{2,0}(\zeta, \Psi_{1,1,0}) + 2\mathbf{R}_{2,0}(\bar{\zeta}, \Psi_{2,0,0}) + 3\mathbf{R}_{3,0}(\zeta, \zeta, \bar{\zeta})$$

Since i $\omega$  is a simple isolated eigenvalue of  $\mathbf{L}$ , the range of  $(i\omega - \mathbf{L})$  is of codimension 1, so that we can solve these equations, and determine  $\Psi$ 1,0,1 and  $\Psi$ 2,0,0, provided the right hand sides satisfy one solvability condition. It is this solvability condition which allows to compute the coefficients a and b. In the case when  $\mathbf{L}$  has an adjoint  $\mathbf{L}^*$ , acting in the dual space  $X^*$ , then the solvability condition is that the right hand sides are orthogonal to the kernel of the adjoint  $(-i\omega - \mathbf{L}^*)$  of  $(i\omega - \mathbf{L})$ . The kernel of  $(-i\omega - \mathbf{L}^*)$  is one-dimensional, just as the kernel of  $(i\omega - \mathbf{L})$ , spanned by  $\zeta^* \in \mathcal{X}^*$  that we choose such that  $\langle \zeta, \zeta^* \rangle = 1$ . Here  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the duality product between X and  $X^*$ . For example  $a = \langle \mathbf{R}_{1,1}(\zeta) + 2\mathbf{R}_{2,0}(\zeta, \Psi_{0,0,1}), \zeta^* \rangle$ .

3. By symmetry arguments, the bifurcation is of "pitchfork" type and the central part is given by  $E_0 = Vect(\cos(2\cdot), \sin(2\cdot)) = \ker \mathbf{L}_{\mu_0}$  where  $\mathbf{L}_{\mu} = -Id + \mu S'(\mu v_0^f(\mu))J$ . We use complex coordinates  $U_0 = A\zeta + c. c$  with  $\zeta = e^{2i\theta}$  and  $A \in C$ , the normal form is given by:

$$\dot{A} = A\left(\frac{\sigma - \sigma_0}{\sigma_0} + \chi_3 |A|^2\right) + h. o. t.$$

We write  $V(\theta, t) = v_0^f + \mathbf{U}_0 + \Psi(\mathbf{U}_0, \mu)$ . The Taylor expansion reads

$$\Psi(\mathbf{U}_0,\mu) = \Psi_{20}A^2 + \bar{\Psi}_{20}\bar{A}^2 + \Psi_{11}A\bar{A} + \Psi_{30}A^3 + \bar{\Psi}_{30}\bar{A}^3 + \Psi_{21}A^2\bar{A} + \bar{\Psi}_{21}A\bar{A}^2$$

We write  $\mathbf{R}(\mathbf{U},\mu) = -v_0^f - \mathbf{U} + \mathbf{J} \star S(\mu \mathbf{U} + \mu v_0^f) - \mathbf{L}_{\mu_0}$ . We note that  $D_{v_0} \tilde{\Psi}(v_0,\mu) \mathbf{A}|_{\mathcal{X}_c} v_0 = 0$ . We look at the coefficient  $A|A|^2$  in (NF), it satisfies

$$b\zeta - \mathbf{L}_{\mu_0}\Psi_{21} = 2\mathbf{R}_{20}(\zeta, \Psi_{11}) + 2\mathbf{R}_{20}(\bar{\zeta}, \Psi_{20}) + 3\mathbf{R}_{30}(\bar{\zeta}, \zeta, \zeta).$$

The coefficient  $A^2$  gives

$$-\mathbf{L}_{\mu_0}\Psi_{20} = \mathbf{R}_{20}(\zeta,\zeta)$$

The coefficient  $A\bar{A}$  gives

$$-\mathbf{L}_{\mu_0}\Psi_{11} = \mathbf{R}_{20}(\zeta,\bar{\zeta})$$

The coefficient  $A^3$  gives

$$-\mathbf{L}_{\mu_0}\Psi_{30} = \mathbf{R}_{20}(\zeta, \Psi_{20}) + \mathbf{R}_3(\bar{\zeta}, \zeta, \zeta).$$

It remains to solve the above convolution equations. We write  $e_n(\theta) = e^{2in\theta}$  and  $J \star e_n = J_n e_n$ . We find  $\mathbf{R}_2(\zeta, \zeta) = \frac{1}{2}\mu_0^2 S^{(2)}(\mu_0 v_0^f) J \star \zeta^2$  hence  $\Psi_{20} = \alpha_{20}\zeta + \beta_{20}\overline{\zeta} + \frac{\mu_0^2 S^{(2)}(\mu_0 v_0^f) J_2}{2(-1+\mu_0 S^{(1)}(\mu_0 v_0^f) J_2} = \alpha_{20}\zeta + \beta_{20}\overline{\zeta} + \frac{\mu_0^2 S^{(2)}(\mu_0 v_0^f) J_2}{2(1-J_2/J_1)}$ . The final result is

$$b = \mu_0^3 J_1 \left[ \frac{S^{(3)}(\mu_0 v_0^f)}{3} + \mu_0 S^{(2)}(\mu_0 v_0^f) \left( \frac{J_0}{1 - J_0/J_1} + \frac{J_2}{2(1 - J_2/J_1)} \right) \right]$$